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What’s the problem of traditional expert search systems?

- Structured data from closed systems
- Closed-world view
- Lack of semantics
- Unstructured data from open systems
Can it serve to find experts?
Potentials and Pitfalls of LOD as expertise evidence source

Review existing expert search systems/approaches
Extract and analyze expertise hypothesis
Test feasibility of expertise hypothesis on LOD

Potentials and Pitfalls of LOD as expertise evidence source
How do we search for experts in general?

- different data sources
- different approach: expertise hypothesis
- list of experts
If the user wrote a paper and saved a bookmark before the others was retweeted on TopicX, then he/she is an expert on TopicX.
Expertise Hypothesis

Candida

Expert Evidence

**Expert Topic**

Activity

- Attending professional events, Roles on events, Experience, Projects,…

Content

- Blogs, Publications, Bookmarks, Wikipedia Articles, …

Reputation

- Social Connectedness, User’s popularity, Quotes…
If a user wrote a scientific publication on topic X than he is an expert on topic X. If a user wrote a Wikipedia page on topic X than he is an expert on topic X. If a user edited or revised a document about topic X on a collaborative shared online workspace, then he might be expert on topic X. If a user blogs a lot about topic X, then he might be an expert for topic X. If a user has lower entropy of interests, where topic X is a primary interest, then he is a better expert on topic X. If a user has a lot of e-mails on topic X than he is an expert on topic X. If the user has resources/documents on topic X then he is an expert on topic X. If a user has subscription to feeds on topic X, then he is an expert in topic X. If a user participates in a Q&A community on a topic X then he is an expert on the topic X. If a user answers questions from experts than he might himself be an expert --> The more the user asking a question in a Q&A community is expert, the more significant is the expertise of the user giving the answer. If a user participates lots of email conversations about topic X than he might be an expert. If a user answers lots of questions about topic X then he is an expert on topic X. If the user discovers (and shares) "important/good" resources (i.e. resources which become later popular) on topic X, then he is an expert on topic X. If the user is among the first to find and share a good resource on topic X, then he is among the best experts on topic X. If the user participates in collaborative software development project then he might be an expert in the programming language that is used in the project. If a user claims in his resume/CV that he is skilled in a topic than he might be expert. If a user has obtained funded research grants in a certain (domain) field, Feasibility of expertise hypothesis on LOD?
**Test Cases**

**T1 Existence:**
Does LOD contain data sets with the type of data needed for a certain hypothesis?

**T2 Detail Level:**
Are there relevant data in the concerned data sets?

**T3 Interlinkage:**
Are there any links to the topics of competence?

**T4 Interlinkage:**
Are there any links to a user’s identities/accounts?
**hypothesis** related to content created by user

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1: If a user wrote a scientific publication on topic X than he might be an expert on topic X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2: If a user wrote a Wikipedia page on topic X than he might be an expert on topic X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3: If a user blogs a lot about topic X, then he might be an expert for topic X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T1: Does LOD contain data sets with the type of data needed for a certain hypothesis?  
T2: Are there relevant data in the concerned data sets?  
T3: Are there any links to the topics of competence?  
T4: Are there any links to the user data sources?
### Test Results: Online Activities

**hypothesis** related to users’ online activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>T1: Does LOD contain data sets with the type of data needed for a certain hypothesis?</th>
<th>T2: Are there relevant data in the concerned data sets?</th>
<th>T3: Are there any links to the topics of competence?</th>
<th>T4: Are there any links to the user data sources?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H4: If a user answers questions (on topic X) from experts on topic X then he might himself be an expert on topic X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5: If a user is among the first to discover (and share) &quot;important/good&quot; resources (i.e. resources which become later popular) on topic X, then he might be an expert on topic X.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6: If a user participates in collaborative software development project then he might be an expert in the programming language that is used in the project.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Test Results: Offline Activities

**hypothesis** related to users’ offline activities & achievements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H7 If a user claims in his resume/CV that he is skilled in a topic X than he might be expert in topic X.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H8: If a user has obtained funded research grants in a certain (domain) field, then he might be an expert in that field.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9: If a user has a certain position in company then he might be an expert on the topic related to his position.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H10: If a user supervises/teaches someone then he might be an expert on the topic he/she teaches.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H11: If a user has several years of experience with working on something related to topic X then he might be an expert in topic X.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H12: If a user is a member of the organization committee of a professional event, then he might be expert on the topic of the event.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H13: If a user is giving a keynote or invited talk at a professional event, then he can be considered an expert in the domain topic of the event.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H14: If a user is a chair of a session within a professional event, then he can be considered an expert in the topic of the session (and by generalization, also an expert in the domain topic of the event).</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H15: If a user is presenting within a session of a professional event, then he can be considered an expert in the topic his presentation is</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Test Results: Reputation

**hypothesis related to users’ reputation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H17: If a user’s blog about a topic X gets lost of comments, then he might be an expert for topic X.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H18: If a user has higher social connectedness with an expert in topic X, then he is considered to be a better expert in topic X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H17: If a user’s blog about a topic X gets lost of comments, then he might be an expert for topic X.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **T1**: Does LOD contain data sets with the type of data needed for a certain hypothesis?
- **T2**: Are there relevant data in the concerned data sets?
- **T3**: Are there any links to the topics of competence?
- **T4**: Are there any links to the user data sources?
Summary of Results

- Content-based Hypothesis:
  - e.g., DBLP, SW Conference, SIOC, Faviki
- Reputation-based Hypothesis:
  - e.g., FOAF, SIOC
- Activity-based Hypothesis
  - e.g., SW Conference, DOAP Store

- Problems
  - Lack of details
  - Lack of Interlinkage (topics and users)
Potential Benefits

- **Cross-Platform:**
  - Complex hypothesis across different data sources

- **Reuseable:**
  - Decouple hypothesis and data sources

- **Extensible and Flexible:**
  - Discover new data sources for given hypothesis
Conclusion

- More data sources (especially about activities)
- More details (especially context information)
- Data descriptions for automatic data source selection
- Interlinks (user identities and topics)
Not just a critique, but a call for action!
Thank you for your attention.

milan.stankovic@hypios.com